Citric acid production by electrodialysis with bipolar membranes
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Abstract

Production of citric acid from sodium citrate was carried out by electrodialysis (ED), using bipolar membranes (BP) prepared from poly(phenylene oxide) (PPO). The process feasibility was tested using a laboratory ED-cell with an effective area 20 cm². Based on the configuration of BP-cation membranes (C)-BP, the performances of various sodium sulfate concentrations and sodium citrate concentrations are compared and discussed in terms of ionic transport and ion exchange. It is suggested that, in such an operation, the optimum concentration range be 0.5–1.0 M for sodium citrate and 0.25–1.5 M for sodium sulfate from the viewpoint of energy consumption, current efficiency and acid concentration. © 2002 Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved.
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1. Introduction

Citric acid (H₃Cit) is of paramount industrial importance because, it is a useful product, which has been widely used in dairy, medicine and biochemical industries. The process currently used for the production of H₃Cit, is based on fermentation route [1]. As shown in Fig. 1, the raw materials such as maize, starch, grains, etc., are fermented and filtered. The filtered liquor is precipitated with lime followed by acidification with H₂SO₄, yielding citric acid and at the same time yielding large amounts of solid wastes such as CaSO₄. According to conventional procedure in China, 3–4 tons solid wastes will be formed for 1 ton products [1]. These large amounts of wastes give rise to serious contamination to the environment. Moreover, the product obtained by this technique is usually at low concentration, e.g. 0.2–1 N due to the limitation of fermentation process. Since, the fermentation process typically operates at near neutral pH; this being maintained via addition of a suitable base. Increasing the product concentration significantly reduces the productivity of the fermentor due to product inhibition.

Water splitting by bipolar membrane-electrodialysis (BPED) provides an attractive complement to the fermentation technology by removing the product acid, while simultaneously providing an equivalent amount of base for use in adjusting the pH in the fermentor as shown in Fig. 2. Compared with the conventional processes, several advantages are notable.

1. The new process does not needs any lime and thus produces no CaSO₄ solid wastes and the route is well simplified (precipitation, acidification and the followed filtration are cancelled).
2. The fermentor itself can now be operated at relatively low product concentration to assure high productivity.
3. The base is conserved in the system and no additional base is used to adjust the pH in the fermentor.
4. As an added advantage, the produced acid is usually at a relatively high concentration so that, the subsequent purification via crystallization or other techniques is relatively inexpensive.

Several studies have shown that this ED process, involving bipolar membranes (BP), have economic potential for recovering/producing organic/amino acids [2–6] such as lactic acid [7], acetate acid [8] as well as electroacidification of soybean protein [9,10].
The principle of the electrodialytic water-dissociation process employing a BP is well documented. If a direct electrical potential (DC) is established between a BP, which consists of a layer of an anion exchange membrane and a layer of a cation exchange membrane, water dissociation occurs at the interphase and a current is sustained through the membrane by the migration of hydrogen and hydroxyl ions to the respective compartments under the fluency of applied DC electric field. By appropriately arranging conventional cation-exchange and anion-exchange membrane on either side of the BP, cations and anions of a given organic salt, e.g. sodium citrate (Na₃Cit) as discussed here, could be so directed to meet the OH⁻ and H⁺ in respective compartments to generate separate streams of alkali and citric acid.

Therefore, the aim of this work is to investigate an alternative process for the production of citric acid, which avoids the large amount of waste solution and the waste precipitation. We try to describe the results of experiments to obtain citric acid from different concentrations of support electrolyte (sodium sulfate) and organic salt (sodium citrate) employing BP.

2. Experimental

2.1. Material

Sodium citrate dihydrate of analytical grade was commercially obtained and used without further purification. The cation exchange membrane was prepared by sulphonating poly(phenylene oxide) (PPO) [11], the anion exchange membrane is prepared by brominating and quarter-aminating PPO [12] and the BP is obtained by casting the cation layer solution onto the anion layer [13]. Both the mono-polar membrane and BP were commercially obtained from Institute of Ocean Chemical Engineering of Shandong (China). The main characteristics were listed in Table 1.

2.2. Methods

The laboratory-scale experimental set-up was composed of one anode and one cathode as well as one piece of cation exchange membrane and two pieces of bipolar exchange membranes inserted between them to form four compartments, cathode compartment; acid compartment; salt compartment and anode compartment as shown in Fig. 3. The sodium citrate solution is fed to the acid compartment and support electrolyte solution, sodium sulfate solution, fed to the other compartments, if not specifically mentioned. Each compartment was connected to a separate external 1000 ml beaker, allowing for continuous recirculation (500 ml) by four centrifuge pumps (Model-D9463-125, Tuthill pump Co. of California, USA). The organic salt is converted into organic acid with the protons produced from the water dissociation transporting into the compartment and the sodium ions out of the compartment. The effective area of the membrane is approximately 20 cm².

Table 1
Main characteristics of the membranes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Membrane Type</th>
<th>Interpolymer type, sulfonic group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cationic membrane</td>
<td>Interpolymer type, sulfonic group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interpolymer type, ammonium group</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area resistance (Ω cm²)</td>
<td>&lt;2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water content (%)</td>
<td>30-40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport number</td>
<td>&gt;97%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thickness (mm)</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ion exchange capacity (mmol dg⁻¹)</td>
<td>1.6-1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anionic membrane</td>
<td>Interpolymer type, quarternary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Composition of the cationic and anionic membranes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area resistance (Ω cm²)</td>
<td>&lt;3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water content</td>
<td>30-35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport number</td>
<td>&gt;98%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thickness (mm)</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ion exchange capacity (mmol dg⁻¹)</td>
<td>1.8-2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bipolar membrane</td>
<td>Composition of the cationic and anionic membranes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area resistance (Ω cm²)</td>
<td>&lt;8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thickness (mm)</td>
<td>0.3-0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potential drop in 1 M NaCl (V)</td>
<td>1.5-3.0 (at 100 mA cm⁻²)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The anode/cathode voltage difference was supplied by a CV/CC regulated power supply (YJ63, Shanghai Huguang Instruments works). The voltage drop across the stack was directly read from the indicators on the power supply, while the potential drop across a BP was measured with a Digital multimeter (model, GDM-8145, Good will instrument Co. Ltd., Taiwan) through two platinum probes near to the two surfaces of the layers. The concentration of citric acid was determined by titration with standard sodium hydroxide solution using phenolphthalein as indicator.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Current–voltage curves

The current–voltage curves were measured under different concentrations of sodium citrate in the acid compartment and Na₂SO₄ in the other compartments. The results are depicted in Fig. 4. Obviously, the experimental I–V curves for the three configurations show the typical behavior given by the coupling of ion transport and electrical field-enhanced water dissociation [14], at small voltage, the current is carried mainly by the salt ions and attains a limiting value. The resistance of the membrane increases more or less due to the migration of salt ions out of the bipolar junction; at high voltage, most of the current is carried by the H⁺ and OH⁻ ions generated by water dissociation taking place at the bipolar junction, and increases rapidly with the voltage. The membrane resistance then begins to decrease again with the applied voltage. The magnitudes of voltage values across a BP for the considered cases seems to follow the order that case 1 (1 M Na₃Cit, 1 M Na₂SO₄) < case 2 (1 M Na₃Cit, 0.5 M Na₂SO₄) ≈ case 3 (0.5 M Na₃Cit, 0.5 M Na₂SO₄) < case 4 (1 M Na₃Cit, 0.25 M Na₂SO₄) ≈ case 5 (0.1 M Na₃Cit, 0.5 M Na₂SO₄). Since, an increase in electrolyte concentration may give rise to a corresponding increase in conductivity of membrane layers and thus, a slight increase in potential across the layers. However, this difference is not so appreciable at high current densities if the salt concentrations are close, because, most potential of BP is contributed from the bipolar junction due to the depletion of ions, when water dissociation occurs. So the membrane potential only shows a slight decrease at high salt concentration, as in the case of 1 M Na₃Cit in acid compartment and 1 M Na₂SO₄.

3.2. Voltage across the cell stack

Cell voltage is an important parameter because it is related to energy consumption associated with the production of an acid and a base based on BP. The voltage drop, which refers to the variation that take place in the ED cell, mainly includes, the Donnan potential at all solution–membrane interface and diffusional potential both in the mono-exchange membrane and in the solution as well as the voltage across a BP [15]. So it is connected with the number of the compartments and the concentration of electrolyte solution in the compartments and thus related with cell configurations. In the previous contributions, we have discussed the effect the cell configuration on the stack voltage [16,17]. Here, we emphasize the effect of salt concentration. Values of the voltage drop based on the current arrangement at a typical current density \( I = 100 \text{mA cm}^{-2}\) and different concentrations are plotted in Fig. 5. It can be noticed that, when a constant current was applied (gavanostatic conditions), the cell voltage drops kept approximately constant, indicating that the water dissociation at this condition approaches to be steady state. When the cell voltages are compared with different electrolyte concentrations, it is interesting to find the values follow the same order as those across a BP, i.e. case 1 (1 M Na₃Cit, 1 M Na₂SO₄) < case 2 (1 M Na₃Cit, 0.5 M Na₂SO₄) ≈ case 3 (0.5 M Na₃Cit, 0.5 M Na₂SO₄) < case 4 (1 M Na₃Cit, 0.25 M Na₂SO₄) ≈ case 5 (0.1 M Na₃Cit, 0.5 M Na₂SO₄). It is indicated that, the cell voltage is not only related with the support electrolyte

---

**Fig. 3.** Scheme of the laboratory water splitting cell for citric acid production. C, cation exchange membrane; BP, bipolar membrane. The name of compartment from the left is cathode compartment, base compartment, acid compartment and anode compartment, respectively.

**Fig. 4.** Experimental \( I–V \) curves at different concentrations, acid compartment containing citric sodium and others containing Na₂SO₄.
Fig. 5. Time dependence of the voltages across the cell at \( I = 100 \text{ mA cm}^{-2} \) for different concentrations.

concentration, but also related with organic salt concentration. However, the support electrolyte \( \text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4 \) seems to play a decisive role on the voltage because, it is a strong electrolyte while, organic salt is a weak one. A difference of the order of \( \sim 4 \text{ V} \) was observed among the cases of different concentrations. This difference is larger than that across a BP because, salt concentration not only affects the membrane potential, but also affects the solution conductivity between the compartments.

3.3. Current efficiency

The current efficiency \( \eta \) was calculated as Eq. (1) [8]

\[
\eta = \frac{(C_t - C_0)VF}{NIt}
\]

where \( C_0 \) and \( C_t \) are the concentration of citric acid (acid compartment) at time 0 and \( t \), respectively. \( V \) is the circulated volume of solution in each compartment, \( I \) the current, \( F \) the Faraday constant and \( N \) is the number of cell triplets (\( N = 1 \) based on the current set up).

The current efficiency is determined by various time intervals from the beginning and therefore, it is dependent of time. As shown in Fig. 6, the current efficiency decreases with time, which conforms to the typical trends. At a given time, the current efficiency decrease with increase in the concentration of sodium citrate, as in the case of 0.1, 0.5, 1 M sodium citrate solutions, thereby restricting the possibility of obtaining high concentration of acid and alkali [8].

The trends in the variation of current efficiency with the sodium citrate concentration is easily explained in terms of the ionic transport in the set up and conversion reactions of citric acid as shown in IIIIIIIVVVI.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{H}_2\text{O} & \rightleftharpoons \text{H}^+ + \text{OH}^- \quad (I) \\
\text{Na}_3\text{Cit} & \rightleftharpoons 3\text{Cit}^- + 3\text{Na}^+ \quad (II) \\
\text{Cit}^- + \text{H}^+ & \rightleftharpoons \text{HCit}^- \quad (III) \\
\text{HCit}^- + \text{H}^+ & \rightleftharpoons \text{H}_2\text{Cit}^- \quad (IV) \\
\text{H}_2\text{Cit}^- + \text{H}^+ & \rightleftharpoons \text{H}_3\text{Cit} \quad (V) \\
\text{Overall reaction:} & \\
3\text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{Na}_3\text{Cit} & \rightleftharpoons \text{H}_3\text{Cit} + 3\text{NaOH} \quad (VI)
\end{align*}
\]

Obviously, the formation of citric acid largely depends on the ionic transport in the BP cell. An increase in sodium citrate concentration can increase the concentration of citrate ions (Eq. (II)), which likely cause a more formation of citric acid due to the less degree of dissociation of the acid as shown in formula (Eqs. (III), (IV) and (V)). Since, the citric acid is a weak electrolyte \( (pK_1 = 3.13, \quad pK_2 = 4.76, \quad pK_3 = 6.40) \), experiencing a considerably reduced Donnan exclusion to mono-membrane, the formed acid is easily lost by diffusion into the neighboring compartment through the membrane to that of the acid stream [8]. So, an increase in sodium citrate concentration will reduce the degree of dissociation of citric acid favoring a diffusion of undissociated acid and thus the current efficiency is decreased.

The effect of \( \text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4 \) concentration on the current efficiency can be obtained by comparing the same sodium citrate concentration, as in the case of 0.25, 0.5, 1 M sodium sulfate solution with 1 M sodium citrate solution. The results are also shown in Fig. 6. It is found that, the current efficiency increases with the concentration of sodium sulfate at low concentration range and then decreases. These results may be explained considering two things. On one hand, an increase in support electrolyte concentration will increase the conductivity in the compartments and decrease the cell voltage as shown in Fig. 5 and thus yield a high efficiency; but on the other hand, an increase in electrolyte concentration may also give rise to the unfavorable factors for the formation of citric acid based on the following considerations.

The production of citric acid with BP can be considered as an exchange reaction between \( \text{Na}^+ \) and \( \text{H}^+ \) as shown in Eq. (VII).
and improving the cell structure [8,16]. The effect of Na₂SO₄ concentration on the acid concentration is almost the same as that on current efficiency, which supports the former analysis. As observed from Fig. 7, a maximum concentration of acid as high as 30 g l⁻¹ may be obtained at the cases of 0.5 M Na₃Cit–0.5 M Na₂SO₄ and 1 M Na₃Cit–0.5 M Na₂SO₄ before time = 200 min, thereby providing the technical feasibility of converting citric acid from sodium citrate, using BP. A higher value for acid concentration can be achieved if the recirculation time is longer. In comparison with the conventional fermentation process, the new process can produce acid citrate with a relative high purity due to the high selectivity of membranes and decrease the discard of solid wastes to a great extent because, lime neutralization and the followed precipitation were avoided. Since, citrate has a relative high solubility in water, e.g. 1.65 kg/kg water at 25 °C [1], in our experimental process, no scaling phenomena was observed on the membranes.

3.5. Energy consumption

The energy consumption E (kW h kg⁻¹) was calculated by extrapolating the results for the production of 1 kg of citric acid based on Eq. (2)

\[
E = \int \frac{UIdt}{CVM}
\]

Here, \(U\) is the potential across the cell stack (V), \(M\) is the molar weight of citric acid (192 g mol⁻¹). The results, shown in Fig. 8, indicate that the energy consumption increases with the current density. For the comparisons between different salt concentration, the trends are just opposite to those for current efficiency. For example, the maximum energy assumption corre-
sponds to the lowest current efficiency at the case of 1 M Na₃Cit–1 M Na₂SO₄, while the minimum energy consumption to the lowest current efficiency at case of 0.1 M Na₃Cit–1 M Na₂SO₄. The results may also be explained by the ionic transport and exchange, as described before.

4. Conclusions

1. ED with BP provides a convenient way to produce citric acid from its salt solution. In comparison with the conventional fermentation process, the new process can produce acid citrate with a relative high purity due to the high selectivity of membranes and decrease the discard of solid wastes to a great extent because, lime neutralization and the followed precipitation were avoided.

2. Both the sodium citrate concentration and sodium sulfate concentration have an effect on such a process. The trends can be explained in terms of ionic transport and exchange reaction between protons and sodium ions. In the practical operations, it suggests the optimum concentration ranges 0.5–1.0 M for sodium citrate and 0.25–1.5 M for sodium sulfate from the considerations of energy consumption, current efficiency and acid concentration.

3. A maximum concentration of acid as high as 30 g l⁻¹ has been obtained at the cases of 0.5 M Na₃Cit–0.5 M Na₂SO₄ and 1 M Na₃Cit–0.5 M Na₂SO₄ and a recirculation time of 200 min, thereby providing the technical feasibility of converting citric acid from sodium citrate using BP.

4. The above results provide a basis to conduct a large scale experiments with fermentation broth.
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